

Shawn D. Sheridan
sheridan3398@yahoo.com

October 9, 2015

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *In the Matter of Applications of Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"), Time Warner Cable Inc., and Advance/Newhouse Partnership for Consent to Transfer Control of Licenses and Authorizations*, MB Docket No. 15-149

Dear Ms. Dortch,

I respectfully submit the following, which is an addition to my comment dated September 27 and partly a continuation of my supplement dated October 4, 2015, submitted to the Commission for the denial of the Applications referenced above.

I suggest to the Commission that conducting an independent analysis of the comments received from the public for MB Docket 15-149 would reveal a nationwide campaign to improperly affect the Commission's independent review of the Applications, and reveal unique characteristics of who has and has not commented publicly.

As an interested person, I viewed the public submissions from 258 unique commenters for this matter, and of those the following summarizes the submitters who presented as a state or local level representative, chamber of commerce representative, or not-for-profit representative:

	<i>State-level rep.</i>	<i>Local-level rep.</i>	<i>Chamber of Commerce</i>	<i>Not-for-profit rep.</i>	<i>Total</i> [sorted by]
California	9	19	7	22	57
Texas	9	-	18	4	31
New York	-	4	12	14	30
Michigan	3	9	2	3	17
Tennessee	9	4	1	2	16
Florida	3	-	5	5	13
Montana	1	-	4	2	7
Wisconsin	1	-	1	5	7

	<i>State-level rep.</i>	<i>Local-level rep.</i>	<i>Chamber of Commerce</i>	<i>Not-for-profit rep.</i>	<i>Total</i> [sorted by]
Colorado	3	2	1	-	6
Missouri	1	-	-	5	6
Ohio	-	-	3	2	5
North Carolina	2	-	1	1	4
Louisiana	-	1	-	3	4
Maine	-	-	-	2	2
Kansas	-	-	2	-	2
Wyoming	1	-	-	-	1
Minnesota	-	-	1	-	1
Nebraska	-	-	1	-	1
South Carolina	-	-	-	1	1
Totals	42	39	59	71	211

Much can be noteworthy from this information.

- With a total of 31 representative-type comments from the state of Texas, not one came from a local official. Without a single comment from a local-level official, 18 chambers of commerce in Texas presented a favorable comment.
- With a total of 30 representative-type comments from New York, none were from a state-level representative.
- Of the 28 states in which Charter operates, one-third did not make the list.
- Of the representative-type comments from Missouri, Charter's home base, not one came from a local level or chamber of commerce representative. And nothing from Connecticut.
- From Charter's base of South Carolina—sales and address for customer complaints—not one came from a state level, local level, or chamber of commerce representative.
- Of 258 unique commenters, 82% (211) represent interested groups of the public or interested constituencies without proof of consensus. All 211 are unproven representations.

At Charter.com the About Us webpage reads: "Charter today employs approximately 23,000 and provides services to more than 6 million customers in 28 states." How is it that of 6 million Charter customers, about 30 unique consumers submitted a public comment? That's 0.000005%.

I suggest to the Commission that the current public comments process has been infiltrated to purposely influence the independent review process; and this can be further realized by viewing a trend in the comments submitted by chambers of commerce and others across the U.S.:

The Minnesota Chamber of Commerce represents over 2,300 businesses throughout the state of Minnesota. As the voice of Minnesota businesses on statewide policy issues....The Minnesota Chamber of Commerce respectfully requests that the FCC approve all matters related to this merger promptly.

The Missoula Area Chamber of Commerce is the voice of business in Missoula County....We are excited by New Charter's commitment to invest \$2.5 billion into networks in commercial areas.

* * *

As a member-driven organization, the Montana Chamber of Commerce represents the interests of business, ranging from small mom-and-pop operations to large companies....The new company would commit \$2.5 billion to the commercial sector and would build out residential lines, improving both industry competition and local infrastructure.

* * *

With nearly 700 members that employ more than 12,000 people, the Fremont Chamber of Commerce represents a vibrant, regional business community in eastern Nebraska....Specifically, we are told, the greater financial strength of the unified operations would lead to investment of at least \$2.5 billion to upgrade commercial lines to fiber-optics....Therefore, based on their assurances to us, we believe New Charter would be a great partner....

* * *

The Florida Chamber of Commerce is pleased to support Bright House Network's merger with Charter Communications and Time Warner Cable into New Charter....New Charter would be committed to infrastructure investment. It would devote at least \$2.5 billion towards commercial networks, contributing important upgrades and competition into this influential market.

* * *

[Clearwater Regional Chamber of Commerce:] We understand that New Charter plans to invest \$2.5 billion toward commercial networks, contributing important upgrades and competition into this influential market and to provide substantial investment throughout the entire State.

* * *

[Polson Chamber of Commerce:] New Charter would make investments in infrastructure. It would set aside at least \$2.5 billion for upgrading commercial networks.

* * *

[Lakeland Area Chamber of Commerce:] For example, New Charter has committed to \$2.5 billion in commercial networks and would build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[San Diego Regional Chamber of Commerce:] The proposal promises to bring in at least \$2.5 billion in new commercial infrastructure investment, much of which will be invested in areas where the Charter Communications currently does not operate.

* * *

With more than 10,000 members, the Greater Cleveland Partnership (GCP) is a membership association of Northeast Ohio companies and organizations and one of the largest metropolitan chambers of commerce in the nation....Specifically, it would commit at least \$2.5 billion to build out commercial network lines and put up one million new residential lines....

* * *

On behalf of the Greater Riverside Chambers of Commerce and its more than 1,300 members representing over 100,000 jobs in Inland Southern California, I am writing to support a proposed merger....New Charter would invest \$2.5 billion in building out commercial networks, contributing to necessary improvements and encouraging competition.

* * *

The Buffalo Niagara Partnership is the region's private sector economic development organization and regional chamber of commerce....In the near future, our state will benefit from a \$2.5 billion expansion in the build-out of networks into commercial sectors.

* * *

At the Finger Lakes Chamber of Commerce, we serve as the voice of our local business community....We have [been] made aware of a major change in the cable broadband industry. The potential merger of Charter Communications, Time Warner Cable, and Bright House Networks into New Charter....

* * *

[Capital Region Chamber:] Today, we are writing to share with you a major proposal in the cable broadband industry that we believe would positively impact our region....New Charter would also invest at least \$2.5 billion in the building-out of networks into commercial areas, creating additional, much-needed competition in the commercial sector.

* * *

[Ulster County Regional Chamber of Commerce:] New Charter would also invest at least \$2.5 billion in the building-out of networks into commercial areas, creating additional, much-needed competition in the commercial sector.

* * *

[Adirondack Regional Chamber of Commerce:] New Charter plans to also invest at least \$2.5 billion in the building-out of networks into commercial areas, creating additional, much-needed competition in the commercial sector, vital to our continued economic growth in the region.

* * *

[Rockwall Chamber of Commerce:] As noted in the merger proposal, it would invest \$2.5 billion in commercial network lines and would build out one million residential lines.

* * *

[Texas Association of Business:] The merging companies have already agreed to commit \$2.5 billion to commercial lines and invest in building out one million residential lines.

* * *

[Granbury Chamber of Commerce:] It would contribute \$2.5 billion to commercial networks and build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Rio Grande Valley Partnership:] Specifically, it would commit at least \$2.5 billion to build out commercial network lines and put up one million new residential lines.

* * *

[Fort Worth Chamber of Commerce:] ...New Charter plans to build out one million residential network lines. It would also invest at least \$2.5 billion in the building of commercial networks.

* * *

[Southlake Chamber of Commerce:] Bandwidth is critical to a fast-paced office, with businesses that effectively harness bandwidth growing at a rate three times their competitors, according to The Boston Consulting Group. Knowing these facts, we are increasingly engaged in the telecommunications industry.

* * *

I suggest that whoever is responsible for the language used by many chambers of commerce that submitted favorable comments as though independent and spontaneous should be exposed. As an example, the following came from the South San Antonio Chamber of Commerce:

The company would offer consumers the latest innovations by committing to investing in products that will drive the future of technology. This would include bringing all customers to full digitization and providing a cloud-based guide....Each of these commitments ensure all customers would have access to the latest innovations, allowing them to leverage the Internet in their personal and professional lives.

* * *

The trend of mentioning the \$2.5 billion investment did not stop at chambers of commerce:

[Lexington Medical Center Foundation:] New Charter has also committed to build out one million line extensions into residential areas and invest at least \$2.5 billion into commercial areas.

* * *

[Greater Ridgewood Youth Council:] The merger proposal outlines substantial goals, including investing \$2.5 billion dollars in commercial networks and building out one million residential line extensions.

[Montana Rural Education Association:] New Charter's investments would include at least \$2.5 billion for commercial networks and a promise to build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Western Upper Peninsula Planning and Development Region:] Their plan to invest \$2.5 billion across their footprint supports the electrical infrastructure needed to do business in today's marketplace.

* * *

[Salvadori Center:] One type of infrastructure that needs consistent upgrading is in the broadband sector. We're impressed with New Charter's commitment to investing \$2.5 billion to build-out networks into commercial areas. The merger would also result in one million line extensions into residential areas beyond where Charter currently operates.

* * *

[Inland Empire Economic Partnership:] Dependable broadband is essential for businesses to be successful, Charter is committed to build out 1 million line extensions of our network into residential areas within our footprint beyond where they are currently operating.

* * *

[Mayor Pro Tem, City of Grand Junction, Colorado:] New Charter will build out one million line extensions beyond their current operating area, to bring high speed internet to more families and businesses.

* * *

[Business Council of New York State, Inc.:] Moreover, New Charter will invest \$2.5 billion in commercial areas beyond where they currently operate, adding competition to the commercial sector, and build out one million line extensions into residential areas....

* * *

[City Manager, Hudsonville, Michigan:] It is my understanding that the proposed merger will lead to these and other investments and service enhancements by Charter Communications:

- \$2.5 billion in investment to build-out networks in commercial areas within Charter's region of service.
- Buildout of a million line extensions of Charter's networks to homes within its franchise areas.

* * *

[Bienvenidos Community Health Center:] Additionally, New Charter will invest \$2.5 billion for commercial networks and the build out of one million residential line extensions, particularly focused in underserved regions.

* * *

[Mohawk Valley Economic Development Growth Enterprises Corp.:] This would include building out one million line extensions of its networks into residential areas and investing \$2.5 billion in building commercial networks, thereby adding competition in the commercial sector.

* * *

[Central Florida Partnership:] This substantial commitment includes investing at least \$2.5 billion in commercial networks and promising to build out one million residential line extensions. In Central Florida, we know that this type of investment is rare, but also desperately needed.

* * *

On behalf of the Associated Industries of Florida, I am writing today in regard to MB Docket No. 15-149 and to show our organization's support of the proposed New Charter merger that has the potential to bring in at least \$2.5 billion in new commercial infrastructure investment and thousands of jobs back in from overseas.

* * *

What can be more of an unfair advantage against consumers than the commenter who submitted a comment as the Executive Director of the New York State Snowmobile Association and a separate comment as Supervisor of the Town of Poestenkill, both containing identical paragraphs and other similar sentences?

[New York State Snowmobile Assoc.:] We also look forward to New Charter's commitment to invest heavily in our region's infrastructure with their pledge to build out one million residential line extensions. They would also invest at least \$2.5 billion in the building-out of networks into commercial areas, injecting much-needed competition into the marketplace.

* * *

There are at least two comments submitted that use the same language with inserted differences:

[Business Council of New York State, Inc.:] We recognize that the information and communications sector is an increasingly critical component of a healthy economy....The Business Council understands that access to a reliable 21st Century communications infrastructure—with competitive options for service—is essential for New Yorkers in their homes, schools and workplaces.

* * *

[Orange County Partnership:] The Partnership recognizes that the information and communication sector is an increasingly critical component of a healthy economy....We also understand that access to reliable 21st Century communications infrastructure, with competitive options for service, is a necessity for Orange County residents in their homes, schools and workplaces.

* * *

[City Council President, City of Cohoes:] It is our understanding that New Charter recognizes these factors and has committed to invest \$2.5 billion in commercial areas to create additional, much needed competition in the commercial sector.

* * *

[Retail Council of New York State:] It is our understanding that New Charter recognizes these factors and has committed to invest \$2.5 billion in commercial areas to create additional, much needed competition in the commercial sector.

* * *

[District Supervisor, Stanislaus County:] Additionally, New Charter will invest in California's technology and infrastructure, pledging to spend at least \$2.5 billion building out commercial networks as well as building out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[San Bernardino County's Fourth District:] As part of its proposal, New Charter pledged to spend at least \$2.5 billion on building out commercial networks as well as building one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Riverside City Councilman:] It would spend at least \$2.5 billion on commercial networks and would build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Grand Junction City Council:] New Charter, the company that will be formed from the merger, has agreed to invest in the build out of 1 million line extensions of their networks into residential areas that have previously been without service. They are also committed to a \$2.5 billion investment in the build out of networks into commercial areas.

* * *

[Mayor Pro Tem of Anaheim, California:] These advanced services will be offered at a low market price tag, allowing residents of all backgrounds access to the latest technology. With regard to the business community, New Charter will extend its commercial network which is much needed by small business owners.

* * *

[Mayor of Montebello, California:] For the business community, there will be \$2.5 billion invested in extending the services to commercial areas.

* * *

[Mayor Pro Tem of Apple Valley, California:] ...a pledge to spend at least \$2.5 billion on commercial networks, an undertaking to build out one million residential line extensions....

[Rebuilding Together Long Beach:] In addition, New Charter would invest in community development by committing to building out one million residential line extensions and investing \$2.5 billion in building out commercial networks.

* * *

[State Representative Mike Kuglitsch:] Additionally, Charter has committed to build-out one million line extensions in residential areas and plans to invest at least \$2.5 billion in the build-out of networks into commercial areas.

* * *

[Senator Rick Gunn:] For example, New Charter's commitment to invest at least \$2.5 billion in commercial networks would contribute to a much more competitive commercial space.

* * *

[State Representative Brandt Iden:] Building on the legacies of Charter Communications, Time Warner, and Bright House Networks, New Charter would invest heavily in several areas, including an investment of at least \$2.5 billion in commercial networks and a build out of one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Senator Kerry Donovan:] The company is planning to build out one million line extensions into residential areas that will help provide better service to rural regions of the country.

* * *

[State Representative Mille Hamner:] The company is planning to build out one million line extensions into residential areas that will help provide better service to rural regions of the country.

* * *

[State Representative Angela Williams:] Recognizing the need for modern fiber-optic networks, New Charter would invest \$2.5 billion into commercial networks and would build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[State Representative Jose Felix Diaz:] It would spend at least \$2.5 billion on commercial lines and would build out one million residential extensions.

* * *

[State Representative Alan Williams:] For example, New Charter would spend at least \$2.5 billion on commercial networks and would build out one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Senator Bob Huff:] They have pledged to spend at least \$2.5 billion on building out commercial networks as well as building one million residential line extensions.

* * *

[Chairman, California State Board of Equalization:] New Charter's pledge to spend at least \$2.5 billion on building out commercial networks as well as building one million residential line extensions....

* * *

[State Representative Giovanni Capriglione] The work would include a \$2.5 billion investment into commercial networks and a build out of one million additional residential lines.

* * *

[State Representative Col. Rocky Chavez:] They have committed to spend at least \$2.5 billion on building out commercial networks.

* * *

[Senator Becky Massey:] New Charter's commitment is more than just talk; it has agreed to contribute at least \$2.5 billion to build out commercial lines across its national footprint.

* * *

[San Diego North Economic Development Council:] Moving forward, New Charter would inject at least \$2.5 billion in new commercial networks and would build out one million residential lines. Our nation's broadband is quickly falling behind the pace of technology.

In my supplementary comment to the FCC of October 4, I provided an excerpt of a news article that stated: "Liberty Broadband is making another \$5 billion investment in Charter as part of the transaction. And another Malone entity, Liberty Interactive, is making a \$2.4 billion investment in Liberty Broadband "in support" of the Charter deal." John Malone's Liberty companies plan to inject billions "in support" of Charter's merger plans.

If consumer benefit is at the forefront, then why is 'New Charter' necessary with funds like that available for investment today? What's wrong with just investing billions in a broadband service provider that operates across 60% of the continental U.S. today?

Why does the "Liberty Broadband Corporation" contain the word "Broadband"? At the website LibertyBroadband.com, the About Us page states:

Liberty Broadband Corporation holds ownership interests in Charter Communications, Inc. and TruePosition, Inc., as well as a minority equity interest in Time Warner Cable Inc. Charter Communications, Inc. is one of the largest providers of cable services in the United States, and TruePosition, Inc. develops and markets technology for locating wireless phones and other wireless devices on a cellular network.

This week the Dakota Financial News mentioned the following in an article:

TruePosition is a provider of mission-critical location based solutions enabling wireless carriers and government agencies to provide public safety E-9-1-1 services domestically and services in support of national security and law enforcement across the world.

[Source: <http://www.dakotafinancialnews.com/insider-selling-liberty-broadband-corp-...486308/>]

Liberty Broadband Corporation owns 100% of TruePosition, which is not a broadband service provider. Therefore, the corporation consists of TruePosition and investments in providers. What could be the answer but that John Malone and Gregory Maffei have big plans for ‘New Charter’?

In my comment of September 27, I stated: “It is probable that Charter’s new terms of service, effective in October 2014, was a result of my dispute which began in April 2014, with my first letter addressed to Tom Rutledge, CEO.” It is also probable that the special shareholder meetings of September 21 and 23 were used to deflect focus from the personal ambitions of John Malone, Gregory Maffei and Tom Rutledge.

On May 25, 2015 I sent a letter to Charter, which detailed alleged violations of California Civil Code, Title 1.5, Chapter 3, Section 1770 (a) (3), (7), (9), (13), (14), (19), and Chapter 4, Section 1781 (a); and I copied only one person, “Gregory Maffei, a Director of Charter Communications, President and CEO of Liberty Broadband.” On May 31, 2015, the New York Times published the article, *Capturing a Prize in Cable*, which shielded Mr. Malone and Mr. Maffei, excerpted:

From a corporate takeover standpoint, Charter had publicly battled for control of Time Warner Cable for the last two years. For Mr. Rutledge, Charter’s chief executive, it was the conclusion of a personal quest that goes back more than a decade.

“People think that idea came to me from other people,” Mr. Rutledge said about the latest deal, alluding to news articles about the behind-the-scenes influence of John C. Malone, the billionaire media executive who is the primary backer of Charter. “But it is actually something that I have been pursuing for a long time.”

[Source: http://www.nytimes.com/2015/06/01/business/media/capturing-a-prize-in-cable.html?_r=0]

On June 25, 2015 I submitted the following complaint to the Commission online:

The attached letters I am providing to the FCC could be considered whistleblower information if it were not for the fact that it pertains to me personally as a California consumer actively receiving Internet-only, non-Term Contract service from Charter Communications, Inc. My Internet service began in April 2013, and April 2014 is when I initiated a billing dispute that has remained open and unresolved for more than 425 days. Today I read online that Charter submitted a 362-page Public Interest Statement to the FCC dated June 25. Exactly one month ago, on May 25, I submitted to Charter the attached letter and copied it to a key member of Charter’s Board of Directors. This letter is the tip of the iceberg compared to the amount of correspondence involved in my on-going individual dispute with Charter that has the potential to affect more than one million similar customers. It is very safe to say that the most influential members of Charter’s Board of Directors are aware of my dispute and have forgotten to mention it in their communications with the FCC about how wonderful they plan to be as New

Charter....This complaint is not submitted to resolve my individual dispute with Charter but rather in direct connection to Charter's unscrupulous Public Interest Statement.

Within the May-July 2015 window, I communicated to the following:

<i>Letter/Email</i>	<i>Addressee</i>	<i>Via</i>	<i>Tracking/Fax/Email</i>	<i>State</i>
05/08/2015	Cust. Care Center	Priority Mail	940780369930001...5561 *	SC
05/25/2015	Cust. Care Center	Priority Mail	940780369930001...1509 *	SC
	Gregory Maffei	Priority Mail	940780369930001...1516 *	CO
06/08/2015	Cust. Care Center	Priority Mail	940580369930000...0236	SC
06/22/2015	Cust. Care Center	Priority Mail	940580369930001...4212	SC
	Michael Huseby	Priority Mail	940780369930001...6037 *	NY
06/24/2015	VP and Assoc GC-L	Fax	314-909-0. .9	MO
07/08/2015	Ashok Kuthyar	Email	SVC...@charter.com	SC
		Email	m...@charter.com	SC
07/22/2015	VP and Assoc GC-L	Fax	314-909-0. .9	MO
	Kathleen Mayo	Email	k...@charter.com	CT
	Ashok Kuthyar	Email	a...@charter.com	CT?
	Michael Henry	Email	m...@charter.com	SC

* Signature Confirmation delivery

Note: Michael Huseby Director of Charter; CEO, Barnes & Noble
Kathleen Mayo Executive Vice President, Customer Operations, at Charter
VP and Assoc GC-L Vice President and Associate General Counsel–Litigation, at Charter
Ashok Kuthyar Vice President (Service Delivery & Support) at Charter
Michael Henry (Executive Escalation Manager) at Charter

On July 29, BusinessWire published the notice, *Liberty Broadband Corporation Announces Special Meeting of Stockholders*, excerpted:

Liberty Broadband Corporation will be holding a Special Meeting of Stockholders on Wednesday, September 23, 2015, at 8:30 a.m., M.D.T., at the corporate offices of Starz, 8900 Liberty Circle, Englewood, Colorado 80112.

[Source: <http://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20150729006266/en/#.VbsfSPIViko>]

In August 2015, I communicated to the following:

<i>Letter/Email</i>	<i>Addressee</i>	<i>Via</i>	<i>Tracking/Email</i>	<i>Delivered</i>
08/08/2015	Rick Dykhous	Priority Mail	940780369930001...2303 *	08/10/2015
08/14/2015	Jay Markley	Priority Mail	940780369930001...0867 *	08/17/2015
08/14/2015	Balan Nair	Priority Mail	940780369930001...0874 *	08/17/2015
08/17/2015	Balan Nair	Email	b...@libertyglobal.com	08/17/2015

* Signature Confirmation delivery

Note: Rick Dykhous Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, at Charter
Jay Markley Director of Charter; Co-founder of New Amsterdam Growth Capital LLC
Balan Nair Director of Charter; Exec VP and Chief Technology Officer for Liberty Global, Inc.

On August 20, Multichannel News published the article, *Charter, TWC Set Special Shareholder Meeting Date*, excerpted:

Charter shareholders of record as of July 28 will be eligible to vote on the merger as well as the plan to allow Liberty Broadband—the spinoff of Liberty Media that holds its 25.7% stake in Charter—to buy additional shares of the new company. The proceeds from that share purchase will be used in part to finance the TWC acquisition. The Charter meeting will be held in Stamford, Conn., at 10 a.m. on Sept. 21.

At the same time on that same day, TWC shareholders will meet in New York at the New York Institute of Technology to vote on the merger. TWC shareholders of record as of July 28 will be eligible to vote.

[Source: <http://www.multichannel.com/news/cable-operators/charter-twc-set-special-.../393166>]

I suggest to the Commission that my correspondence during that timeframe caused or impacted the timing of Liberty Broadband's special shareholder meeting of September 23, as well as the scheduling three weeks later of Charter's and TWC's special meetings of September 21.

The date of September 23 had a private meaning. Exactly one year prior, on September 23, 2014, I wrote a letter to John Malone, excerpted:

This letter is not an appeal but rather a straight reminder of your responsibility as a significantly influential member of Charter's Board of Directors. Charter's key leaders have no authority to ignore the business-critical nature of my unresolved billing dispute.

Enclosed is a copy of the letter I sent to Mr. King dated September 9, and I add to that a stark consideration. The way I have been mishandled, distracted, diverted, delayed and lied to by Charter representatives reminds me of Enron and the cunning Jeffrey Skilling, who now lives at a prison camp. He was big. He was powerful. He was wealthy. And now he lives with inmates.

Do you want to know what's harsh? Executives who knowingly and willingly avert potentially business-crippling decisions to end wrongful application of pricing terms to non-Term Contract accounts for Internet-only customers, leaving unsuspecting customers in their ongoing losses.

Of course, considering your personal investments, it could be argued that you have conflicts of interest as a director for Charter. An article published by the New York Times last year seems particularly blunt: "...With influence over Charter in hand, Mr. Malone and Mr. Maffei now want to see Charter make a bid for Time Warner Cable...Over the years, the deal machine that is Liberty Media has made both Mr. Malone and Mr. Maffei very rich...Today, Mr. Malone is looking to expand his empire. While his title at Liberty is chairman, he is the driving force behind the quest to combine Charter and Time Warner Cable."

I wonder, do Berkshire Hathaway, Vulcan Capital and Liberty Broadband Corporation simply see Charter as a cash cow to be milked or chopped up and sold? The problem is that this is not about you. This is not about corporate bank accounts.

No, my matter isn't about you, Mr. Maffei, Mr. Buffett, Mr. Allen, Mr. Rutledge or any other investor. It's about Charter Communications, Inc., treating Internet-only residential customers rightfully and openly—and I'm one of them. It's about finding a way to rectify that Charter has had no legal basis to apply rate periods to non-Term Contract, Internet-only accounts.

I'm tired of dealing with this, and I'm certainly tired of being mistreated. Charter's legal team has everything needed to properly address my demand of May 21; and Charter has everything and everyone needed to preclude a viable class action. Among others, you are a key leader.

That is the letter Charter directly responded to stating there was nothing to resolve. Leading up to the September 21 and 23, 2015 meeting dates, I contacted the following Directors of Charter:

<i>Letter/Email</i>	<i>Addressee</i>	<i>Via</i>	<i>Tracking/Email</i>	<i>Delivered</i>
09/03/2015	Balan Nair	Email	b...@libertyglobal.com	09/03/2015
09/10/2015	Craig Jacobson	Priority Mail	940780369930001...6149 * [†]	09/23/2015
		Email	c...@hjth.com	09/15/2015
09/14/2015	Gregory Maffei	Email	g...@libertymedia.com	09/14/2015
09/18/2015	Balan Nair	Email	b...@libertyglobal.com	09/18/2015
	Craig Jacobson	Email	c...@hjth.com	09/18/2015
	Gregory Maffei	Email	g...@libertymedia.com	09/18/2015
09/20/2015	Eric Zinterhofer	Email	e...@searchlightcap.com	09/20/2015
	Jay Markley	Email	j...@nagrowth.com	09/20/2015
	Tom Rutledge	Email	t...@charter.com	09/20/2015

* Signature Confirmation delivery [†] USPS delivered almost two weeks after shipping date

On September 21, Multichannel News published the article, *TWC Shareholders Approve Charter Deal*, excerpted:

As expected, Time Warner Cable shareholders approved its \$78.7 billion merger with Charter Communications in a special meeting of shareholders.

Charter shareholders voted on the deal at the same time in Stamford, Conn., with more than 98% of the votes cast in favor of the transaction.

[Source: <http://www.multichannel.com/news/cable-operators/twc-shareholders-approve-charter.../39394>]

On September 27, I submitted a public comment to the FCC. On October 2, Charter disconnected my Internet service. There is more that I could include in this public comment, but the FCC has received all backup to my comment and supplement via compact discs.

On August 21, 22 and 23, 2015, after researching to determine the primary investors of Charter Communications and Liberty Broadband Corporation, I addressed identical letters to contacts of 56 investors regarding the special shareholder meetings that would occur one month later. On August 24, Multichannel News perhaps coincidentally published the article, *Cable Stocks Plunge in Market Rout*, excerpted:

In the cable sector, no stock was spared—even SVOD pioneer Netflix opened the session down 15%. On the distribution side, Cablevision Systems led decliners, falling 9.4% (\$2.22 each) in early trading to \$21.52 per share, followed by Charter Communications (down 7.1%), Time Warner Cable (down 4.3%) and Comcast (down 4%).

[Source: <http://www.multichannel.com/news/cable-operators/cable-stocks-plunge-market-rout/393197>]

Later, CNBC published, *After-hours buzz: SolarCity, Charter Communications & more*, adding:

Charter Communications' stock rose about 1 percent after Director David Merritt sold 2,500 shares of its class A common stock after the bell.

[Source: <http://www.cnn.com/2015/08/24/after-hours-buzz-solarcity-charter-comm...-more.html>]

On September 9, 2015 the New York Times published the article, *Justice Department Sets Sights on Wall Street Executives*, excerpted:

"Corporations can only commit crimes through flesh-and-blood people," Sally Q. Yates, the deputy attorney general and the author of the memo, said in an interview on Wednesday. "It's only fair that the people who are responsible for committing those crimes be held accountable. The public needs to have confidence that there is one system of justice and it applies equally regardless of whether that crime occurs on a street corner or in a boardroom."

[Source: <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/10/us/politics/new-justice-dept-rules-aimed-at-prosecuting...>]

I suggest to the Commission that at the least breaches of fiduciary duties have been committed in the insatiable quest for the approval of the Applications.

In conclusion, the Orange County Business Council submitted a comment as an interested person like so many other commenters who deliberately used broad unproven representation as weight:

Orange County Business Council (OCBC) represents nearly 300 of Southern California's largest businesses that employ over 250,000 men and women in our region and more than two million employees globally....OCBC supports the FCC's approval of license transfers arising from Charter's proposed merger with TWC and acquisition of BHN.

With a relative 0.000005% of Charter's customers submitting a comment, favorable or not, that should weigh very heavily against the weight of the 82% representative-type submitters.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Shawn Sheridan
Turlock, California

cc: Vanessa Lemmé	Media Bureau	Vanessa.Lemme@fcc.gov
Ty Beam	Media Bureau	Ty.Beam@fcc.gov
Elizabeth McIntyre	Wireline Competition Bureau	Elizabeth.McIntyre@fcc.gov
Adam Copeland	Wireline Competition Bureau	Adam.Copeland@fcc.gov
Jim Bird	Office of General Counsel	TransactionTeam@fcc.gov